IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 331 OF 2007

Dattatraya Babulal Suddhalkar. ... Applicant.

V/s.

Prataprao Dadasaheb Sawant
and another. ... Respondents.

WITH

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 332 OF 2007

Dattatraya Babulal Suddhalkar. ... Applicant.

V/s.

Prataprao Dadasaheb Sawant
and another. ... Respondents.

WITH

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 333 OF 2007

Vishal Arun Lalbige. ... Applicant.

V/s.

Prataprao Dadasaheb Sawant
and another. ... Respondents.

R.S.Kate for the applicant.

R.D.Suryawanshi for respondent No.1.

Ms.S.D.Shinde, APP for the State (in Revn.No.331/07)

K.V.Saste, APP for the State (in Revn.No.332/07)

S.S.Pednekar, APP for the State (in Revn.No.333/07)

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 2nd August 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard.

2. All these revisions arise out of proceedings under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. Learned counsel for both parties submit that the dispute has been compromised out of court. They have filed consent terms in all the three revision applications. The same are taken on record and marked ‘X’ for identification.

3. The complainant, who is present in the Court, has filed affidavits in all the three matters stating therein that he has received the amount of consideration and that he has no objection to compound the offence against the applicants. The affidavits and the statements made therein are taken on record.

4. In the above view of the matter, offence committed by the applicants- accused stands compounded. All the three revision applications are disposed of in terms of this order with no order as to costs.

JUDGE